

Van: den@live.nl)

Verzonden: donderdag 4 december 2008 22:22:02

Aan: pvv@tweedekamer.nl

BCC:

Geachte heer Wilders,

Kan u, of een van uw medewerkers mij bevestigen of onderstaande speech inderdaad van u afkomstig is en door als zodanig is uitgesproken?

In afwachting van uw reactie verblijf ik met groet,

R.A. Verlinden

Van Speykstraat 87-89

3014 VE Rotterdam

[Op zaterdag 6 december 2008 nog géén enkele reactie van Geert Wilders cs.](#)

Sent: Monday, December 01, 2008 10:37 AM

Subject: Fw: A MUST READ!!!!!! Send to all your friends

**IF YOU READ ONLY 1 EMAIL THIS WEEK, LET IT BE THIS ONE!**

**'In a generation or two, the US will ask itself: who lost Europe?'**

***Here is the speech of Geert Wilders, Chairman Party for Freedom, the Netherlands, at the Four Seasons, New York, introducing an Alliance of Patriots and announcing the Facing Jihad Conference in Jerusalem. The speech was sponsored by the Hudson Institute on September 25.***

Dear friends,

Thank you very much for inviting me. Great to be at the Four Seasons. I come from a country that has one season only: a rainy season that starts

January 1st and ends December 31st. When we have three sunny days in a

row, the government declares a national emergency. So Four Seasons, that's new to me.

It's great to be in New York. When I see the skyscrapers and office buildings, I think of what Ayn Rand said: 'The sky over New York and the will of man made visible.' Of course. Without the Dutch you would have been nowhere, still figuring out how to buy this island from the Indians. But we are glad we did it for you. And, frankly, you did a far better job than we possibly could have done.

I come to America with a mission. All is not well in the old world.

**There is a tremendous danger looming, and it is very difficult to be optimistic. We might be in the final stages of the Islamization of Europe. This not only is a clear and present danger to the future of Europe itself, it is a threat to America and the sheer survival of the West.**

**The danger I see looming is the scenario of America as the last man standing. The United States as the last bastion of Western civilization, facing an Islamic Europe. In a generation or two, the US will ask itself: who lost Europe? Patriots from around Europe risk their lives every day to prevent precisely this scenario from becoming a reality.**

**My short lecture consists of 4 parts. First I will describe the situation on the ground in Europe. Then, I will say a few things about Islam. Thirdly, if you are still here, I will talk a little bit about the movie you just saw. To close I will tell you about a meeting in Jerusalem.**

**The Europe you know is changing. You have probably seen the landmarks.**

**The Eiffel Tower and Trafalgar Squ are and Rome's ancient buildings and maybe the canals of Amsterdam. They are still there. And they still look very much the same as they did a hundred years ago. But in all of these cities, sometimes a few blocks away from your tourist destination, there is another world, a world very few visitors see - and one that does not appear in your tourist guidebook. It is the world of the parallel society created by Muslim mass-migration.**

**All throughout Europe a new reality is rising: entire Muslim neighborhoods where very few indigenous people reside or are even seen.**

**And if they are, they might regret it. This goes for the police as well. It's the world of head scarves, where women walk around in figureless tents, with baby strollers and a group of children. Their husbands, or slaveholders if you prefer, walk three steps ahead. With mosques on many street corners. The shops have signs you and I cannot read. You will be hard-pressed to find any economic activity.**

**These are Muslim ghettos controlled by religious fanatics. These are Muslim neighborhoods, and they are mushrooming in every city across Europe. These are the building-blocks for territorial control of increasingly larger portions of Europe, street by street, neighborhood by neighborhood, city by city.**

**There are now thousands of mosques throughout Europe. With larger congregations than there are in churches. And in every European city there are plans to build super-mosq ues that will dwarf every church in the region. Clearly, the signal is: we rule.**

Many European cities are already one-quarter Muslim: just take Amsterdam, Marseille and Malmo in Sweden. In many cities the majority of the under-18 population is Muslim. Paris is now surrounded by a ring of Muslim neighborhoods. Mohammed is the most popular name among boys in many cities. In some elementary schools in Amsterdam the farm can no longer be mentioned, because that would also mean mentioning the pig, and that would be an insult to Muslims. Many state schools in Belgium and Denmark only serve halal food to all pupils.

In once-tolerant Amsterdam gays are beaten up almost exclusively by Muslims. Non-Muslim women routinely hear 'whore, whore'. Satellite dishes are not pointed to local TV stations, but to stations in their country of origin. In France school teachers are advised to avoid authors deemed offensive to Muslims, including Voltaire and Diderot; the same is increasingly true of Darwin.

The history of the Holocaust can in many cases no longer be taught because of Muslim sensitivity. In England sharia courts are now officially part of the British legal system. Many neighborhoods in France are no-go areas for women without head scarves. Last week a man almost died after being beaten up by Muslims in Brussels, because he was drinking during the Ramadan.

Jews are fleeing France in record numbers, on the run from the worst wave of anti-Semitism since World War II. French is now commonly spoken on the streets of Tel Aviv and Netanya, Israel.

I could go on forever with stories like this. Stories about Islamization.

A total of fifty-four million Muslims now live in Europe. San Diego University recently calculated that a staggering 25 percent of the population in Europe will be Muslim just 12 years from now. Bernhard Lewis has predicted a Muslim majority by the end of this century. Now these are just numbers. And the numbers would not be threatening if the Muslim-immigrants had a strong desire to assimilate. But there are few signs of that. The Pew Research Center reported that half of French Muslims see their loyalty to Islam as greater than their loyalty to France. One-third of French Muslims do not object to suicide attacks.

The British Centre for Social Cohesion reported that one-third of British Muslim students are in favour of a worldwide caliphate. A Dutch study reported that half of Dutch Muslims admit they 'understand' the 9/11 attacks. Muslims demand what they call 'respect'. And this is how

**we give them respect. Our elites are willing to give in. To give up. In my own country we have gone from calls by one cabinet member to turn Muslim holidays into official state holidays, to statements by another cabinet member, that Islam is part of Dutch culture, to an affirmation by the Christian-Democratic attorney general that he is willing to accept sharia in the Netherlands if there is a Muslim majority. We have cabinet members with passports from Morocco and; Turkey.**

**Muslim demands are supported by unlawful behavior, ranging from petty crimes and random violence, for example against ambulance workers and bus drivers, to small-scale riots. Paris has seen its uprising in the low-income suburbs, the banlieus. Some prefer to see these as isolated incidents, but I call it a Muslim intifada. I call the perpetrators 'settlers'. Because that is what they are. They do not come to integrate into our societies, they come to integrate our society into their Dar-al-Islam. Therefore, they are settlers.**

**Much of this street violence I mentioned is directed exclusively against non-Muslims, forcing many native people to leave their neighborhoods, their cities, their countries. Politicians shy away from taking a stand against this creeping sharia. They believe in the equality of all cultures. Moreover, on a mundane level, Muslims are now a swing vote not to be ignored. Our many problems with Islam cannot be explained by poverty, repression or the European colonial past, as the Left claims. Nor does it have anything to do with Palestinians or American troops in Iraq. The problem is Islam itself.**

**Allow me to give you a brief Islam 101. The first thing you need to know about Islam is the importance of the book of the Quran. The Quran is Allah's personal word, revealed by an angel to Mohammed, the prophet. This is where the trouble starts. Every word in the Quran is Allah's word and therefore not open to discussion or interpretation. It is valid for every Muslim and for all times. Therefore, there is no such a thing as moderate Islam.**

**Sure, there are a lot of moderate Muslims. But a moderate Islam is non-existent. The Quran calls for hatred, violence, submission, murder, and terrorism. The Quran calls for Muslims to kill non-Muslims, to terrorize non-Muslims and to fulfil their duty to wage war: violent jihad. Jihad is a duty for every Muslim, Islam is to rule the world - by the sword. The Quran is clearly anti-Semitic, describing Jews as monkeys and pigs.**

The second thing you need to know is the importance of Mohammed the prophet. His behavior is an example to all Muslims and cannot be criticized. Now, if Mohammed had been a man of peace, let us say like Ghandi and Mother Theresa wrapped in one, there would be no problem.

But

Mohammed was a warlord, a mass murderer, a pedophile, and had several

marriages - at the same time. Islamic tradition tells us how he fought in battles, how he had his enemies murdered and even had prisoners of war executed. Mohammed himself slaughtered the Jewish tribe of Banu Qurayza. He advised on matters of slavery, but never advised to liberate

slaves. Islam has no other morality than the advancement of Islam. If it is good for Islam, it is good. If it is bad for Islam, it is bad. There is no gray area or other side.

Quran as Allah's own word and Mohammed as the perfect man are the two

most important facets of Islam. Let no one fool you about Islam being a religion. Sure, it has a god, and a here-after, and 72 virgins. But in its essence Islam is a political ideology. It is a system that lays down detailed rules for society and the life of every person. Islam wants to dictate every aspect of life. Islam means 'submission'. Islam is not compatible with freedom and democracy, because what it strives for is sharia. If you want to compare Islam to anything, compare it to communism or national-socialism, these are all totalitarian ideologies. This is what you need to know about Islam, in order to understand what is going on in Europe. For millions of Muslims the Quran and the life of Mohammed are not 14 centuries old, but are an everyday reality, an ideal that guides every aspect of their lives.

Now you know why Winston Churchill called Islam 'the most retrograde force in the world', and why he compared Mein Kampf to the Quran.

Which

brings me to my movie, Fitna.

I am a lawmaker, and not a movie maker. But I felt I had the moral duty to educate about Islam. The duty to make clear that the Quran stands at the heart of what some people call terrorism but is in reality jihad. I wanted to show that the problems of Islam are at the core of Islam, and do not belong to its fringes. Now, from the day the plan for my movie was made public, it caused quite a stir, in the Netherlands and Throughout Europe. First, there was a political storm, with government leaders, across the continent in sheer panic. The Netherlands was put under a heightened terror alert, because of possible attacks or a revolt by our Muslim population. The Dutch branch of the Islamic organization Hizb ut-Tahrir declared that the Netherlands was due for an attack. Internationally, there was a series of incidents. The Taliban threatened to organize additional attacks against Dutch troops in Afghanistan, and a website linked to Al Qaeda published the message

that I ought to be killed, while various muftis in the Middle East stated that I would be responsible for all the bloodshed after the screening of the movie.

In Afghanistan and Pakistan the Dutch flag was burned on several occasions. Dolls representing me were also burned. The Indonesian President announced that I will never be admitted into Indonesia again, while the UN Secretary General and the European Union issued cowardly statements in the same vein as those made by the Dutch Government. I could go on and on. It was an absolute disgrace, a sell-out.

A plethora of legal troubles also followed, and have not ended yet. Currently the state of Jordan is litigating against me. Only last week there were renewed security agency reports about a heightened terror alert for the Netherlands because of Fitna.

Now, I would like to say a few things about Israel. Because, very soon, we will get together in its capital. The best way for a politician in Europe to lose votes is to say something positive about Israel. The public has wholeheartedly accepted the Palestinian narrative, and sees Israel as the aggressor. I, however, will continue to speak up for Israel. I see defending Israel as a matter of principle. I have lived in this country and visited it dozens of times. I support Israel. First, because it is the Jewish homeland after two thousand years of exile up to and including Auschwitz, second because it is a democracy, and third because Israel is our first line of defense.

Samuel Huntington writes it so aptly: 'Islam has bloody borders'. Israel is located precisely on that border. This tiny country is situated on the fault line of jihad, frustrating Islam's territorial advance. Israel is facing the front lines of jihad, like Kashmir, Kosovo, the Philippines, Southern Thailand, Darfur in Sudan, Lebanon, and Aceh in Indonesia. Israel is simply in the way. The same way West-Berlin was during the Cold War. The war against Israel is not a war against Israel. It is a war against the West. It is jihad. Israel is simply receiving the blows that are meant for all of us. If there would have been no Israel, Islamic imperialism would have found other venues to release its energy and its desire for conquest.

Thanks to Israeli parents who send their children to the army and lay awake at night, parents in Europe and America can sleep well and dream, unaware of the dangers looming. Many in Europe argue in favor of abandoning Israel in order to address the grievances of our Muslim minorities. But if Israel were, God forbid, to go down, it would not bring any solace to the West. It would not mean our Muslim minorities would all of a sudden change their behavior and accept our values. On the contrary, the end of Israel would give enormous encouragement to the

forces of Islam. They would, and rightly so, see the demise of Israel as proof that the West is weak, and doomed. The end of Israel would not mean the end of our problems with Islam, but only the beginning. It would mean the start of the final battle for world domination. If they can get Israel, they can get everything. Therefore, it is not that the West has a stake in Israel. It is Israel.

It is very difficult to be an optimist in the face of the growing Islamization of Europe. All the tides are against us. On all fronts we are losing. Demographically the momentum is with Islam. Muslim immigration is even a source of pride within ruling liberal parties. Academia, the arts, the media, trade unions, the churches, the business world, the entire political establishment have all converted to the suicidal theory of multiculturalism. So-called journalists volunteer to label any and all critics of Islamization as a 'right-wing extremists' or 'racists'. The entire establishment has sided with our enemy. Leftists, liberals and Christian-Democrats are now all in bed with Islam. This is the most painful thing to see: the betrayal by our elites. At this moment in Europe's history, our elites are supposed to lead us. To stand up for centuries of civilization. To defend our heritage. To honor our eternal Judeo-Christian values that made Europe what it is today. But there are very few signs of hope to be seen at the governmental level.

Sarkozy, Merkel, Brown, Berlusconi; in private, they probably know how grave the situation is. But when the little red light goes on, they stare into the camera and tell us that Islam is a religion of peace, and we should all try to get along nicely and sing Kumbaya. They willingly participate in what President Reagan so aptly called: 'the betrayal of our past, the squandering of our freedom.'

If there is hope in Europe, it comes from the people, not from the elites. Change can only come from a grass-roots level. It has to come from the citizens themselves. Yet these patriots will have to take on the entire political, legal and media establishment. Over the past years there have been some small, but encouraging, signs of a rebirth of the original European spirit. Maybe the elites turn their backs on freedom, the public does not. In my country, the Netherlands, 60 percent of the population now sees the mass immigration of Muslims as the number one policy mistake since World War II. And another 60 percent sees Islam as the biggest threat to our national identity. I don't think the public opinion in Holland is very different from other European countries. Patriotic parties that oppose jihad are growing, against all odds. My own party debuted two years ago, with five percent of the vote. Now it stands at ten percent in the polls. The same is true of all similarly-minded parties in Europe. They are fighting the liberal establishment, and are gaining footholds on the political arena, one voter at the time. Now, for the first time, these patriotic parties will come together and exchange experiences. It may be the start of

something

big. Something that might change the map of Europe for decades to come.

It might also be Europe's last chance.

This December a conference will take place in Jerusalem. Thanks to Professor Aryeh El dad, a member of the Knesset, we will be able to watch

Fitna in the Knesset building and discuss the jihad. We are organizing this event in Israel to emphasize the fact that we are all in the same boat together, and that Israel is part of our common heritage. Those attending will be a select audience. No racist organizations will be allowed. And we will only admit parties that are solidly democratic.

This conference will be the start of an Alliance of European patriots. This Alliance will serve as the backbone for all organizations and political parties that oppose jihad and Islamization. For this Alliance I seek your support. This endeavor may be crucial to America and to the West. America may hold fast to the dream that, thanks to its location, it is safe from jihad and shaira. But seven years ago to the day, there was still smoke rising from ground zero, following the attacks that forever shattered that dream. Yet there is a danger, an even greater danger than terrorist attacks, the scenario of America as the last man standing. The lights may go out in Europe faster than you can imagine. An Islamic Europe means a Europe without freedom and democracy, an economic wasteland, an intellectual nightmare, and a loss of military might for America - as its allies will turn into enemies, enemies with atomic bombs. With an Islamic Europe, it would be up to America alone to preserve the heritage of Rome, Athens and Jerusalem.

Dear friends, liberty is the most precious of gifts. My generation never had to fight for this freedom, it was offered to us on a silver platter, by people who fought for it with their lives. All throughout Europe American cemeteries remind us of the young boys who never made it home, and whose memory we cherish. My generation does not own this

freedom; we are merely its custodians. We can only hand over this hard won liberty to Europe's children in the same state in which it was offered to us. We cannot strike a deal with mullahs and imams. Future generations would never forgive us. We cannot squander our liberties. We

simply do not have the right to do so. This is not the first time our civilization is under threat. We have seen dangers before. We have been betrayed by our elites before. They have sided with our enemies before. And yet, then, freedom prevailed. These are not times in which to take lessons from appeasement, capitulation, giving away, giving up or giving in. These are not times in which to draw lessons from Mr. Chamberlain. These are times calling us to draw lessons from Mr. Churchill and the words he spoke in 1942: 'Never give in, never, never, never, never, in nothing great or small, large or petty, never give in

**except to convictions of honor and good sense. Never yield to force; never yield to the apparently overwhelming might of the enemy'.**

All noses in the same direction, shoulder on shoulder, one goal  
SAVE THE ARTH! See under mentioned open (press) letter wrote  
to former UN Secretary – General Mr. Kofi Annan for the opening  
of the Academic year of Wageningen 2008/2009 (Netherlands)  
(please copier this e-mail and sent it to your neighbour.)

---

## **STICHTING TER VOORKOMING MISBRUIK GENETISCHE MANIPULATIE (VoMiGEN)**

Van Speykstraat 87-89,3014 VE Rotterdam . Ingeschreven bij de Kamer van Koophandel onder nr.  
24290161 [www.vomigen.nl](http://www.vomigen.nl) e-mail: [burgersinactie@hotmail.com](mailto:burgersinactie@hotmail.com)

### **OPEN (PRESS) LETTER**

To former UN Secretary – General Mr. Kofi Annan  
c/o University of Wageningen [events.cs@wur.nl](mailto:events.cs@wur.nl)  
Gen Foulkesweg 1,  
Wageningen

### **Re.: Feeding the world**

Rotterdam, 31<sup>th</sup> August 2008.

Dear Mr. Kofi Annan,

Welcome to the Netherlands for the opening of the Academic year of Wageningen  
2008/2009, on the 1<sup>st</sup> of September 2008. We hope that you will have a very nice  
stay in our democratic Kingdom of the Netherlands.

I would like to use this opportunity to ask you some questions and to draw your  
attention to a number of facts regarding abuse of our food and feed through genetical  
Manipulation.(GMOs)

- 1) Most of the Dutch people, like the Islamic people in Iraq and Afghanistan and all other people in Europe and the world, say no..no..to GMOs as I wrote the 5<sup>th</sup> of May '08 to the Eurocomissioner and the minister of Agriculture Mr. Horst Seehofer in Berlin - Germany.
- 2) Why is it not brought under public's attention, that GMOs is applied 'negatively' as much as for 'positive' purposes? And what is between positive and negative? That means that GMOs is more dangerous for human being than nuclear weapons.
- 3) Do you know why the candidate president of the USA Barack Obama would like to leave Iraq and to stay in Afghanistan? Do you know why Plant research Wageningen present in Afghanistan? Do you know why the Dutch independent media are always writing about corruption and crimes when they are talking about opium, while everybody knows that more than 70% of the opium from Afghanistan is going to the western hospitals of the rich western countries? See: 'Feasibility study on opium licensing in Afghanistan for the production of morphine and other essential medicines; <http://www.gentechvrij.nl/watisgentech.html> **Watch nr. 13.** Why do we call people in Afghanistan terrorists when they are defending their possessions?



- 4) Why do you like to feed the world while everybody knows when you start to feed animals that the population increases enormously as Prof. Dr. Ir Louise Fresco, former FAO Assistant Director-General of the Agriculture Department, proved in the Huizinga lecture 1998. In 1952 a world population of 2.3 billion now increased by more than 6 billion, caused by technological 'advance'. A parallel to the growth shown in the graphic of the famous, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), hockey stick about climate warming. Moreover it changes habits and constitution of human being and their habitats. After a new population increase caused by GMO, how do you think that these people are getting educated, medical service, a car, a mobile, holiday trips etc? Do you think that the earth can carry such a huge number of people knowing that they producing CO<sub>2</sub> and other (toxic) waste? For this reason, before we make the same mistake as we did during the The Grain Revolution 55 years ago, I kindly request you to give an answer on the question *'What is the capacity of the earth regarding the number of people and the existing natural food supply?'*
- 5) The most of the people joining the VN march *'Walk the World'* held in Rotterdam on 1<sup>st</sup> of June 2008 gave an impression of a spontaneous action on the part of the Dutch people, but the true is that the most of them where employees, in orange and blue outfit, of the Royal TNT Post and Unilever. Do you know if there is any relation between hungry people in the world and the commercial interest of genetic manipulation by multinationals supported by politic invisible European Royals? Do you agree that Dutch tax, saved for the development of the third world is going to the new factories and laboratories of the multinational Unilever in Ghana?  
Do you have any idea why our Minister Bert Koenders and the international ngo Oxfam/Novib refuse to give information about this subject to the Dutch tax payer?
- 6) Do you have any idea why our Queen and Government abolished on the 1<sup>st</sup> of July 2005, the right for citizens to object through the legal system, to government decision about environment as GMOs, and country and city planning, without informing the people? This right is known as the *actio popularis (Latin)*. The side effects are catastrophic for the public interest as I wrote to the former EU chairman Angela Merkel on 18<sup>th</sup> juni 2007.  
[http://www.burgersinactie.nl/persbericht\\_merkel.html](http://www.burgersinactie.nl/persbericht_merkel.html) Pieter Broertjes, chief editor of the daily Volkskrant, is no longer obliged to warn his readers when one of his journalists makes serious mistakes, like the article *'Voor pieren in de Vinex is er géén doorkomen aan (VK 20/01/07)'*, about strong toxic waste like arsenic and chrome VI in construction materials, as decided by the Board of Journalism in May 2007. <http://www.rvdj.nl/2007/19> (See under mentioned letter)
- 7) Do you have any idea why more and more puissant, rich people are buying huge pieces of land in Africa?
- 8) As we let know the chairman, Her Majesty the Queen, the President of the Council of State, De Raad van State, and the chairman of GENOMICS, Mr. I.W. Opstelten, we believe, based on non- religious arguments, that only the Islam can save the earth. Everybody knows that the follower of Islam has a respect for the physical earth as opposed to the Christian followers. We also wrote them that we can not understand why our Queen Beatrix is the only person of influence in Europe who doesn't taste the difference between genetically manipulated and organic vegetables, while their vegetables come form their own garden, as her son Willem Alexander said in the Agrarisch Dagblad (Newspaper for farmers) 14/8/04/p8 and p9. Opposed to Prince Charles of the UK, who is ringing the warning bell, has said that GMOs are the greatest environmental catastrophe. (FD 14/8/08)
- 9) We have invited politician Geert Wilders to debate with me in the TV program Buitenhof or other well known TV program about my quote *'that only the Islam can save the earth'*. Up till now no answer from Mr. Geert Wilders.

- 10) Is it true that the many new European laws are created to establish a new world constitution as former congress candidate Stan Jones, a member of the Libertarian Party on 9<sup>th</sup> October 2006 in the USA, said? See the video of his speech [http://argusooq.punt.nl/?id=406738&r=1&tbl\\_archief](http://argusooq.punt.nl/?id=406738&r=1&tbl_archief) and see the video about Monsanto, made by citizens for citizens. So far it has not been broadcasted in the Netherlands. (Arte/TV Germany/France 11/3/08. 21.00 hrs)
- 11) Is it true that the politic invisible European Royals like to change the *trias politica* (separation of power of executive, law and court) into *quattro politica* added by **ICT?**
- 12) Last but not least, genetic manipulation is contrary to a good feeling; it is contrary to the European culture; it is contrary to the Convention of the European Human Rights 1<sup>st</sup> Protocol **Art. 8** The right to respect private and family life, the right of the integrity of the human body. **Art 1.** The High Contracting Parties shall secure to everyone within their jurisdiction the rights and freedom defined, includes freedom of private possessions. As you know GMOs can't give guarantees to respect these two most important law articles. See the famous story of the Canadian farmer Mr. Percy Schmeisser and Monsanto.  
<http://www.percyschmeiser.com>

Looking forward to your answer and speech regards the food strategy of the multinationals from an international perspective, during the opening ceremony of the academic year Wageningen 2008-2009 and especially the answer on the question 'What is the capacity of the earth regarding the number of people and the existing natural food supply?' Yours sincerely. Your servant to serve the public interest.

Robert A. Verlinden [www.wijzijnoveral.nl](http://www.wijzijnoveral.nl) – [www.lijst14.nl](http://www.lijst14.nl)  
Foundation for the Prevention of Abuse of Genetic Manipulation (**VoMiGEN**)

## Revolutionaire Partij Nederland

Secretariaat: Westersingel 51, 3014 GV Rotterdam.  
Telefoon: 010- 4363641 – Postbank: 2042587  
Ingeschreven in het Handelsregister bij de KvK te Rotterdam  
onder nummer: 24396353

### OFFENER BRIEF/EINSCHREIBEN

Europäische Ratspräsident  
Frau Angela Merkel  
[internetpost@bundestkanzlerin.de](mailto:internetpost@bundestkanzlerin.de)  
Rue de la Loi 200 Wetstraat  
B-1049 Brussels  
Belgium



Wij zijn medeondertekenaars van het Manifest  
Nederlandse Conventie voor Debat en Dialoog

(Holland) Rotterdam, der 18 Juni 2007.

Sehr geehrte Frau Ratspräsidentin Angela Merkel,

Betrifft: Europäischen Grundgesetzes.

In 1937 schaffte Hitler das "nemo teneturbeginself" ab. Das war das Recht des Angeklagten in Strafprozessen zu schweigen. Deshalb konnte man die Angeklagten

foltern um Informationen zu bekommen über alles, was dem Angeklagten lieb und teuer war.

In 2005 schaffte man in den Niederlanden – ohne irgendeine Veröffentlichung – schweigend (Beilage 1) das Prozessrecht eines jeden, die sogenannte *“actio popularis”* ab (Beilage 2). Das war das Recht von Dritten um Beschwerden zu führen über Beschlüsse der Regierung bei Landeinteilungen und Umwelt. Gegenüber dem Recht zu schweigen war das das Recht zum Sprechen um in der Öffentlichkeit Gehör zu finden. Die Wirkungen sind die gleichen. Früher war ein jeder in einem Prozess ansprechbar, nun fällt das unter die Beurteilung der Richter ohne dass das Wort Berechtigte erläutert oder erklärt wird. Genauso wie bei der Abschaffung des *“nemo teneturbeginsel”* ist der Kläger ohne Rechte und kann über alles, was ihm lieb und teuer ist, das große Leiden anfangen.

Zum Beweis verweise ich nach einer kürzlich geschehenen Aussprache des Politischen Institutes *“De Raad voor de Journalistiek”* über das straflos und unkontrolliert Ausstreuen von Gift in die Umwelt, wie dieses in der Niederländischen Zeitschrift *“De Journalist”* im Mai 2007 veröffentlicht ist:

Anklage: R.A. Verlinden und *“Platform Belangen van Consument (BeVaCo)”* gegen R. Didde und die *“Volkskrant”*. Urteil: NICHT –EMPFANGLICH

*„Die Ankläger beschwerten sich gegen den Artikel “voor dieren in de vinex is er geen doorkomen aan”. Der Kern der Anklage ist, dass in dem genannten Artikel nur gesprochen wird über das Auslaufen von giftigen Stoffen wie Kupfer oder Chrom und die Kläger dadurch bewusst in dem Ungewissen gelassen werden über die Gefahren und die massenhafte Verwendung und Verschmutzung durch diese und andere giftige und krebserzeugende Stoffe, wie zum Beispiel Arsen. Eine derartige Klage ist von einem so allgemeinem Charakter, dass man nicht sagen kann, dass diese in bezug auf den genannten Artikel eine Beziehung hat zu den Interessen von Verlinden.*

*Manchmal kommt es vor, dass bei einer Publikation nicht so sehr ein individuelles Interesse sondern mehr ein allgemeines Interesse vorhanden ist. In diesem Fall kann eine Klage eingereicht werden durch eine juristische Person die, nach ihren Statuten, das Ziel hat, die Rechte der betreffenden Gesellschaft zu verteidigen. Auf Nachfrage hat Verlinden mitgeteilt, dass “Het Platform” nicht bei der “Kamer van Koophandel” eingeschrieben ist und keinen Gesellschaftsvertrag hat. “Het Platform” besteht aus Privatpersonen und Personen die gesellschaftliche Organisationen vertreten. Es ist sicher keine Sprache von einer juristischen Person mit Statuten wie oben beschrieben. Wie es auch ist, nicht ist bewiesen, dass Umstände, die zu dem Urteil leiten können, den Interessen von “Het Platform” direkt*

*gedient haben bei der genannten Publikation und durch die Publikation in seinem Interesse gedient ist. Kläger werden deshalb abgewiesen mit ihren Klagen“.*  
(vergleiche RvdJ )200629)

Urteilsverkündungen vom 16. April 2007 durch Mr. A. Herstel, Präsident, Frau C.J.E.M. Joosten, Frau Mr. H.M.A. van Meurs, Prof. Drs .E. van Thijn, Drs. L.W. Verhagen und zwei Sekretärinnen. (Beilage 3)

Dieses Urteil beweist, dass es nicht mehr um die Norm (Pflicht) sondern um die Form (Beteiligte oder nicht) geht. Als würden die Kläger von einem anderen Planeten kommen, wo sie keine Familie, Kinder, Freunde, Bekannte oder Mitmenschen haben und wo man keine Liebe, Glück, Trauer und Leiden kennt. Außerdem ist dieses Urteil

nicht im Sinne von Paragraph 8 "Achtung vor der persönlichen Lebensart (Integrität des menschlichen Körpers)" des Europäischen Vertrages für die Rechten des Menschen und seine grundsätzlichen Freiheiten.

Die Ursachen von diesen Aussprachen sind eine ernstlich Entgleisung von allem, was mit dem Recht auf Informationen zu machen hat. Die Grundursache davon liegt in der Tatsache, dass Königin und Regierung keine Gesetze vergleichen mit dem bestehenden Niederländischen Grundrecht und dass neue Gesetze und Änderungen der Gesetze nicht offen oder in der Öffentlichkeit besprochen werden. Im politischen Geschehen haben die meisten niederländischen Politiker zu wenig Kenntnisse hiervon, wie aus einer Untersuchung von "Intermediar" vom 21. Juni 2006 hervorgeht. Eine andere und viel ernstigere Ursache ist, dass die niederländische Presse sich nicht hält an die internationalen Verhaltensmaßnahmen, die "Code von Bordeaux", dessen Artikel 1 lautet: "Eerbied voor waarheid en voor het recht van het publiek op waarheid is de eerste plicht van de journalist" (Ehrfurcht vor der Wahrheit und dem Recht des Publikums auf die Wahrheit sind die ersten Pflichten des Journalisten).

Die Niederländer sind nicht gegen Europa! Die Niederländer sind wütend! Der Euro ist mit einem viel zu hohen Umrechnungskurs eingewechselt gegen den Gulden und das haben die Niederländer direkt in ihrem Portmonee gefühlt. Andere Fälle sind, dass in einem durch Gasgewinnung reichen Lande wie die Niederlande die Lebensmittelverteilungsbanken extrem zugenommen haben, aber auch die Krebserkrankungen, Fehlbehandlungen in den Krankenhäusern, Analphabetentum und viele andere schlechte Zustände. Das niederländische NEIN war kein NEIN gegen Europa sondern ein Protest, verursacht durch die niederländischen Politiker weil sie dem Europäischen Parlament die Schuld gaben anstatt die Hand in den eigenen Busen zu stecken.

Die Europäischen Regeln und Gesetze gehen über die nationalen Regeln und Gesetze. Die Wichtigkeit hiervon ist nicht bei allen Niederländern bekannt und wahrscheinlich auch nicht bei allen Europäern.

Aus diesem Grunde möchte ich Sie freundlich bitten alle Mitgliedstaaten zu ersuchen um

- a) den Wert, die Rechte und Pflichten des Europäischen Grundgesetzes bei allen Europäern mit Beispielen bekannt zu machen,
- b) dass jeder Artikel des Europäischen Grundgesetzes mit Beispielen in der Öffentlichkeit und Publizität besprochen und erläutert wird.

Im Vertrauen, dass Sie meine Bitte können und willen erfüllen verbleibe ich

Mit vorzüglicher Hochachtung,

Robert A. Verlinden  
Revolutionaire Partij Nederland

*Die einzige Partei die eine Veränderung will mittels Debattieren und Dialogen.*

*Robert A. Verlinden, initiator und Koordinator von "Lijst 14", einer sogenannten Blancoliste, die aufgrund der Tatsache, dass das einmalig war in der niederländischen Parlamentären Geschichte, durch die unabhängige Presse während der Tweede Kamer-Wahlen vom 22. November 2006 totgeschwiegen wurde. Durch das neue Pressegesetz, das im Streit mit dem Grundgesetz ist, hat "Lijst 14" keine politische Sendezeit bekommen. Minister Nicolaï nahm*



Wij zijn medeondertekenaars van het Manifest  
Nederlandse Conventie voor Debat en Dialoog

am 3. November 2006 ganz unerwartet den Beschluss, um Wahlen auf Abstand möglich zu machen mit dem Wissen, dass jeder Bürger das Recht hat um innerhalb von 6 Wochen hiergegen Beschwerde zu machen. Das ist auch geschehen, nur der "Raad van State", der private Gerichtshof der Königin, nimmt es nicht zur Kenntnis. Alle Prozessakten von den mehr als zehntausend Stimmlokalen waren innerhalb von drei Tagen nach den Wahlen zerrissen. Das ist merkwürdig, denn jeder weiß, dass man seine Bankabschriften fünf Jahre bewahren muss.



Wij zijn medeondertekenaars van het Manifest  
Nederlandse Conventie voor Debat en Dialoog

## Beilagen

- 1) Abschrift Brief des Herrn T.G.G.Bouwman, früherer Vorsitzender vom "Raad van Bestuur PCM.
- 1a) Abschrift e-mail "Nederlands Tijdschrift voor Bestuursrecht".
- 2a) Abschrift "Tijdschrift Openbaar Bestuur".
- 2) Abschrift Brief Ministerpräsident Mr. Dr. J.P. Balkenende.
- 3) Abschrift Publikation in dem "Journalist".

cc. Abschrift an den Ministerpräsidenten Mr. J.P. Balkenende und alle Botschaften von allen Europäischen Mitgliedstaaten.