

**STICHTING TER VOORKOMING MISBRUIK GENETISCHE MANIPULATIE
(VoMiGEN) Foundation for the prevention of Abuse of Genetic Manipulation**

Van Speykstraat 87-89 - 3014 VE Rotterdam. (Netherlands) www.vomigen.nl e-mail:
burgersinactie@hotmail.com KvK te Rotterdam onder nr. 24290161



Flyer: CODEX ALIMENTARIUS

Quote: “control oil and you control nations, control food and you control the people”.
Henry Kissinger 1972

Why aren't citizens informed by the independent media about the third international session by the Codex Alimentarius Commission to be held at the Beurs – World Trade Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, 23- 27 March 2009? There was information in English, France and Spanish? Why in Spanish and not in the Dutch or German language? [See the provisional agenda.](#)

What or who is the Codex Alimentarius?

http://www.laleva.org/eng/2009/02/breaking_the_uns_code_x.html

- Why aren't European citizens really informed by the European leaders about the Codex Alimentarius See: http://www.codexalimentarius.net/web/index_en.jsp
- Why didn't inform the former Dutch Assistant Director-General of the FAO (Agriculture Department), Louise Fresco, the Dutch people about the Codex Alimentarius until now? ftp://ftp.fao.org/codex/ccmas24/ma02_09e.pdf
- Why are the elections in the Netherlands stolen in 2006? ¹ *'Without political room, freedom is impossible'* Hannah Arendt.
- Why is by signing of the first EU covenant 1957 between Germany, Italy, France, Belgium and Luxembourg allowed, that the Dutch government doesn't acknowledge the *trias politica?* ² (Montesquieu)
- Why is it allowed in 1957 that the invisible political power, the Dutch queen, (illuminati), at the same time is the chairman of the highest Court of State *'De Raad van State'*?
- What did queen Beatrix mean when she said the following in her Christmas speech of 1992: *'We have to find a transition to the society with new norms and rules where every body has to participate? (Norms means duty an rules means values)'*
- Why is the Dutch Royal family the only leader with influence in Europe who doesn't taste the difference between GMO en organic vegetables as crown prince Willem Alexander in 2004 said? (Agd 14/8/04/p8 and p9)
- Why is it not brought under public's attention, that GMOs are applied *'negatively'* as much as for *'positive'* purposes? That means that GMOs are more dangerous for human beings than nuclear weapons.
- Is it true that the many new European laws, the Codex Alimentarius included, are created to establish a New World Constitution as former congress candidate Stan Jones, a member of the Libertarian Party on 9th October 2006 in the USA, said? See the video of his speech http://argusoog.punt.nl/?id=406738&r=1&tbl_archief and see the video; “The World According to Monsanto” . (Arte/TV Germany/France 11/3/08. 21.00 hrs) So far it has not been broadcasted in the Netherlands. <http://www.celsias.com/article/the-world-according-to-monsanto/>
- Is the motive for the war in Afghanistan against terrorism only to be the new owners of the poppies (opium) by genetically manipulation? See: *'Feasibility study on opium licensing in Afghanistan – For the production of morphine and other essential medicines'*. http://www.csdp.org/research/feasibility_study.pdf

I remain with kind regards. Your servant to serve the general interest*. (z.o.z.)

Robert A. Verlinden. Candidate number 17 of the former blanco list www.lijst14.nl in favour of the Revolutionary Party Netherland.

Foundation for the Prevention of Abuse of Genetic Manipulation

* In honour of veteran resistance fighters, love of the earth and the world, there is a podium for servants in the making to serve the interest of the common good, without giving priority to their own issues.

*Quote: **'Any truth which is too big to be seen, is probably smaller than you can see it'.**

An anonymous 19th century Dutch poet.



¹ A young brave journalist, Marlies van Leeuwen was able to pass the censure of the editor in chief of the Algemeen Dagblad and made known on 21st January 2009, for the first time, that the elections of 22 November 2006 in the Netherlands were 'stolen'. See the article: 'Lijst 14 eist geld terug'. http://www.ad.nl/rotterdam/stad/2935743/Lijst_14_eist_geld_terug_na_mislukte_verkiezing.html

History: www.lijst14.nl : The former list 14 was a Blanco list with 17 candidate representatives of the people, a political movement created by alarmed citizens. That means no name above the list; so we refer to this political manoeuvre as a Blanco list. With 17 candidates they joined the elections of November 22, 2006. It was unique in Dutch parliamentary history. Initiator and coordinator was Robert A. Verlinden, candidate number 17 of www.lijst14.nl. Despite of this fact, the independent media didn't write about this unique political movement and didn't write about the individual candidates. At the very last moment, three weeks before the election on the November 1st, 2006 the government informed the initiator and coordinator of List 14 that they wouldn't get political commercials on Radio and TV because of certain unknown rules of the Media law. This law said that only political parties who are registered as an association in the register of the *Kiesraad* receive the right for political commercials, free of charge. List 14 was not an association but a Blanco list with independent candidates. After getting, only by arguments, the obliged support in the 19 election districts, as an unknown movement and after paying a caution fee of euro 11.250, the official election institute, the *Kiesraad*, registered this Blanco list as number 14. Coincidence or not, but number 14 is the same number as the famous shirt number of the world-famous football player Johan Crujff.

Nobody included under the civil servants of the *Kiesraad* was informed, previously, about these, in our view, discriminating Media rules. Just two days later on the 3rd of November 2006, the former minister Nicolai decided to make the election with distance voting possible. Of course he did know that every citizen has the right to object to these ministerial decisions within 6 weeks. That did happen. Only de *Raad van State*, the private court of the queen, didn't agree with the arguments and said "no". Court case number Raad van State: 200608427/1/H2. The computers prepared for distance voting, as they said, registered for 'Lijst 14' only 2181 votes. Citizens in Leeuwarden in the North of the Netherlands couldn't believe that and wanted to check and to see the formal voting list (*processen-verbaal*). They then heard that this was not possible because the voting list had passed already. That means that within three days after election: the shredding machine. Now, more than two years later, the coordinator of Lijst 14 is standing for the Raad van State and wants minimally a full restitution of the caution. Court case Raad van State number: 200804861/1/H2. Information: A.A.E. Jansen, tel. 0031 70 4264365. Owing to the courage of the brave journalist Marlies van Leeuwen everybody in Europe and the world can see and convince themselves by asking questions that the election in the Netherlands at 22 November 2006 were 'stolen'. That means that it is proven that in the democratic Kingdom of the Netherlands there is no political room for citizens. This is contrary to The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR). Information number *Kiesraad*: 0031 70 4266266 Mrs. Mr. Hoorweg, reference number: 2007-0000048803.

² Trias politica: separation of powers of governance, law and legislation

Rotterdam. 25th March 2009.