

Robert A. Verlinden
Van Speykstraat 87
3014 VE Rotterdam
Nederland

Telefoon 0031.10.7850589
Mobiel: 06-22110234
e-mail: burgersinactie@hotmail.com
www.lijstzondernaam.nl
www.burgersinactie.nl



Call to all European citizens to unite!

www.wirsinduberall.de

www.wijzijnoveral.nl

Europeans demand for equal values and norms in all European institutions conform to the Fundamental Rights of the European Revolutionary year 1848.

Open registered letter

European Council, Heads of State.

Mr Herman A. Van Rompuy Esq. /President Chairman

B-1049 Brussels

Belgium

Rotterdam, 14th January 2010.

Dear Mr Van Rompuy,

Concerning: Meeting of the European Council, Heads of States on February 11th. 2010

On the 6th of January inst you visited our country and spoke about the 'institutional relationships in Europe'¹ behind closed doors, with Minister President Balkenende, the chairwoman of the House of Representatives and the chairman of the Senate (Eerste Kamer) of the Dutch Parliament (the States General). In contrary to the cry of the Europeans for more transparency the Press was not allowed to ask you any questions as was said by the chairman, Drs. P.R.H.M. van der Linden/CDA².

Regarding the 'institutional relationships in Europe' I have written to Mr. Barroso³, Mrs. Angela Merkel, as former EU chairman⁴ (Annex 1) and also in applications to the Court of Human Rights in Strasbourg⁵ and the European Court of Justice in Luxembourg⁶.

The norms and values of the political fundamental rights⁷ not only have silently been abolished, in the institutions of the Netherlands (annex 2)⁸, but also in the EU Treaty Lisbon 2007⁹.

Specifically the obligation (norm) of the political sovereigns.

¹ 'Herstel economie eerste prioriteit' Financieel Dagblad 7/1/10. Quote: 'Los van de economie sprak het tweetal over klimaatbeleid en de institutionele verhoudingen in Europa door inwerkingtreding van het Verdrag van Lissabon' End quote. .

² See Press statement (movie): http://tvnewsroom.consilium.europa.eu/story/index/story_id/15272

³ The President of the European Commission, Mr. José Manuel Barroso personally, refused to answer my letters of 11th October and the 30th November 2009 about my questions about the criteria of the fundamental rights. The answers by the European Commission Directorate General Justice, Freedom and Security from 25th November and the 22nd December 2009 did not give any satisfaction.

⁴ See our letter of 18th June 2007 <http://www.burgersinactie.nl/download/070618%20merkel.pdf>

⁵ Please see: **1e)** our Legal process about the fundamental right (actio popularis) Court of State (Raad van State), application number 200706900/1/H-3, and application number 3636/09 ECHR-LN1d1.IR – AVS/NM/waw, Third Section, European Court of Human Rights in Strasbourg. **2e)** 'Elections 2006 in the Netherland manipulated- 'List 14, a blank list, demand his caution bag and demand respect for the fundamental rights', application 200804861/1/H2 Council of State (Raad van State), application number 35911/09 /ECHR-LN1d1.IR European Court of Human Rights in Strasbourg.

⁶ **See:** Prejudicial questions, about the independence of the Council of State (Raad van State) with Queen Beatrix as chairman. Application number 200702758/1, Court of State (Raad vanState), and application number 815976 NL /C360/08 European Court of Justice in Luxembourg.

⁷ De Grondrechten zijn de rechtsinstellingen waarop het overige of latere recht steunt'. Free translation: 'Fundamental rights are the Legal settings on which the subsequent legal or later laws rely on'. (Van Dale)

⁸ See our letter of 31st January 2009 the members of the Senate (Eerste Kamer) of the Dutch Parliament (the States General) on our website: <http://www.burgersinactie.nl/090131%20eerste%20kamer%20printlayout.pdf>



The obligation (norm) of the European sovereigns to consult their citizens in advance on new legislative bills, adaptations to laws and political issues is the basic criterion of the political fundamental rights became. Owing to this unwritten obligation, extorted from the European Royals in 1848, the people obtained the right to unite, to have dialogue and to vote in institutions regards the subjects concerned.

We have to realize that we owe the fundamental rights to the German proletariat in the European Revolutionary Year 1848. These rights were extorted from the German and European Royalty and their elites by the European citizens. In fact, this was the creation of the right to unite, for making exemplary decisions from the members of the institutions.

Inherent to the introduction of the **Fundamental Rights** and thus the right to unite, was also the obligation of the boards of societies of the parliamentary representatives of the people to offer their members, as being parties with direct interests, sufficient opportunity in participating through dialogue and voting of the concerned issues.

Only through dialogue opinions can be created. The ultimate results ought then to be presented into an exemplary decision and being respected as the formal authority of the institutes concerned.

Only if all arguments and facts of the members of the political institutions are known, a real parliamentary debate can take place. This should also apply for the issues of the policies of societies and unions of political Non-Governmental Organisations (NGOs) like HIVOS, Oxfam Novib, Greenpeace, FAO, WHO, WWF etcetera.

These criteria of the fundamental rights have faded away completely. Because of neglecting these obligations by the political Royal institutes and their servants and elite, there has been developed a system of party politics instead of representation of the people.

Since our head of state, Queen Beatrix announced new values (laws) and norms (duties) in her 1992 Christmas speech¹⁰, nobody knows which values and norms our Prime Minister Peter Balkenende, servant of the Crown¹¹, has put on the 2004 European agenda during his turn as EU chairman.

European Treaty of Lisbon 2007 and The Netherlands

By various influences, hardly anyone recognizes or remembers the criteria of these 'Fundamental rights' now.¹² This must be the explanation that these crucial fundamental values and norms,

⁹ "CHAPTER 3: JUDICIAL COOPERATION IN CIVIL MATTERS Article 65.2.f. **Quote::** 'The elimination of obstacles to the proper functioning of civil proceedings, if necessary by promoting the compatibility of the rules on civil procedure applicable in the Member States...' **End quote. Questions:** a) why if necessary? b) What are the criteria of 'if necessary'? c) Why 'if necessary' if we know that all the laws are based on the fundamental rights?

¹⁰ Christmas speech queen Beatrix 1929, quote: '*Wel moeten we de overgang zoeken naar een maatschappij met een nieuw gevoel voor normen en regels waaraan algemeen wordt vastgehouden*'. End quote (Normen betekent plicht; regels betekent waarden).

¹¹ In the Netherlands the ministers and State secretaries are servants of the Crown, instead of the people. The Dutch people are held politically apathetic. CIVIS MUNDI/ April 2009 'Burgerschapsproblematiek opnieuw ter discussie' Prof. dr. S.W.. Couwenberg.

¹² One of the main reasons that we have forgotten the values and norms of the exemplar decisions, is, that after WO II, the term populism is mentioned as a half truth in the dictionaries. Maarten van Rossem, Dutch Historian, wrote, (free translated from Dutch) '*old populism is for example American populism of the 19e century. New populism is the Western European populism of the last 30 years*'. The Dutch dictionary Van Dale: Populism: popular, somewhat superficial demagoguery. Nonsense! Populism is talking and acting without authority of the members of the institutions (exemplary decisions). With other words, over the heads of the exemplary decisions of the right to meet, unite and vote in the political and social institutions, the gut feelings of the masses touch, add half truths and concealing key facts.

basically the foundation of a democracy, have simply been vanished from the European Treaty of Lisbon 2007.



Without realizing, Dutch people have been manipulated in 2007 into voting “NO” to the European Constitution. Changing the term `Constitution`, legitimized changing into the term `Treaty`, owing to this. The citizens of the Netherlands have neither been consulted as regards the contents of the constitution nor about this treaty. This strikes the obligations (norms) of the right to unite in consulting the populace on forehand (values).

A constitution without respect for the criteria of the fundamental rights is legally excluded, isn't it? Should this be the reason that EU constitution has been changed into a treaty?

Without respect of the exemplary decisions from the members of the institutions, the main criterion of Fundamental rights emerges populism¹³. Moreover, parliamentarians and party representatives will start a situation “He who pays the piper calls the tune”.

Populism results into popular sentiment (*‘Gesundes Volksempfinden’*) and leads to catastrophes analogous to the occurrences of the last century. Quote: *‘Only those who know the past has a future’* (Wilhelm von Humboldt / German Humanist).

The right to unite

European civilians yearn for more democracy, meaning recovery of the fundamental rights. This could only be achieved if the criteria, that means the obligation to consult the members of the institutions in advance about new laws an political questions and gave them the possibility to have the dialogue an to vote the subject concerned as a member in the institutions. This must in all member states on an equal level and to its full extent.

Only through the right to unite in the political institutions for dialogue and voting European civilians can, as persons with direct interests, apply their influence before political decisions will be taken and new laws and regulations will be enforced.

Many examples can be given in which clearly is showed the failure of importing important issues without any respect of the exemplary decisions as being the key of the fundamental rights.

Examples: introduction of the technology of Genetic Manipulation, Cisgenesis and Nano into Food and Organisms¹⁴, Codex Alimentarius¹⁵, the new world order¹⁶, criteria of organic agriculture¹⁷, climate destruction, the management of private money of the pension funds, education, the war in Iraq and Afghanistan¹⁸, immigration and policy on asylum seekers, the

¹³ Populism already accepted by the Dutch politicis said by Prof. dr. Rob de Wijk, international relation University Leiden and managing director for Strategic Studies in the Hague. **Quote** ‘Populisme is een vast bestanddeel van de Nederlandse politiek geworden en de politieke elite ‘luistert nu beter naar de kiezer’. **End quote.** (‘Het ontbreekt Nederland aan Leiders’ Trouw 25/7/09).

¹⁴ The Dutch Royal family doesn’t taste the difference between organic vegetables and GMO vegetables. Their vegetables coming from own garden and that gives the family a good feeling said Crown Prince Alexander (Agd. 14/8/04/p8 and p9) In 2002 silently they abolished the Board of Advisors of the private logo EKO in the control organisation SKAL. In fact they abolished the exemplary decisions resulting from the right to unite. This was, for the first time, confirmed by letter by Minister Gerda Verburg, the servant of the crown, on 14 th June 2007.

¹⁵ Alimentarius, (Latin) means everything what have to do with food. (Codex for food en medicines coming from Genetically manipulation, Nanotechnologie en Cisgenetechnologie) Please be so kind and see the flyer of the meeting in Rotterdam about the Codex Alimentarius from 23th March until 27th March 2009 on our website: <http://www.burgersinactie.nl/090325%20alimentarius.pdf>

¹⁶ Please see on our website our letter to Papst Benedikt XVI of 15th July 2009 <http://www.burgersinactie.nl/090716%20pausc.pdf>

¹⁷ In 2002 ‘queen and government’ abolished silently the Board of Advisory of the private logo EKO in the control organisation SKAL. In fact they abolished the exemplary decisions resulting from the right to unite. On 17th June 2007 Minister Gerda Verburg, servant of the Crown, confirmed this for the first time at 17th June 2007.

environment, youth and social care, bank crisis, compulsory taking and storing of DNA samples and fingerprints¹⁹, placing camera's²⁰ and many other political issues.



Informed consent: Beside these examples, for those not having direct interests, the exemplary decisions, resulting from the right to unite, generates informed consent. It is clear that 449 million Europeans know more than the relatively small number of Europarliamentarians and the Eurocommissioners in Brussels.

Contemplation

The Fundamental rights of 1848 ought to be confirmed through ratification. They should be anchored within the European Treaty. Without respect for the exemplary decisions, resulting from the right to unite for dialogue and voting by the members of the institutions, there is no civil support. It must have top priority and precedes all the Constitutions, the EU Treaty and the Human Rights.

Only the reintroduction and growing awareness of the political Fundamental rights can stop a new catastrophe.

The initiators hold the opinion that the invisible European powers, such as the political institutes of the European Royalty, should revert to the essence of democratic existential values and standards of the political right to unite as acquired in the European Revolutionary year 1848.

Awaiting your reply, showing whether you intend to use your influence for the ratification of the Fundamental rights, specifically the criterion of the exemplary decisions, I, your servant of the common goods*, remain, most sincerely yours,

Robert A. Verlinden/Candidate Local elections on a blank list in Rotterdam, 3rd March 2010.

www.lijstzondernaam.nl A blank list is a political list without name with representatives of the people instead of party representatives.

* In honour of veteran resistance fighters, love of the earth and the world, there is a podium for servants in the making to serve the interest of the common goods, without giving priority to their own issues.

PS. In the near future all citizens of Europe will get perusal of this letter through Internet and pamphlets, which will be distributed in various languages.

Annex:

- 1) Annex 1) Copy of the letter of 18th June 2007 to Bundeskanzlerin A. Merkel/ former EU chairman.
- 2) Copy of the letter written on 31st January 2009 to the members of the Senate (Eerste Kamer) of the Dutch Parliament (the States General).

¹⁸ Please be so kind and see our letter on our website to the former UN Secretary General Mr. Kofi Annan

<http://www.burgersinactie.nl/080830%20kofi%20annanc%20pdf%20combi.pdf>

¹⁹ Storing DNA and fingerprints is contrary the right to Nemo Tenetur. No one is bound to arm an opponent against himself, meaning that a defendant is not obligated to in any way assist the prosecutor to his own detriment. Hitler abolished the right to Nemo Tenetur in 1937.

²⁰ Setting of camera's in public rooms without the authority of the exemplary decisions of the institutes is in contrary with the fundamental rights. Result: Popular sentiment ('Gesundes Volksempfinden').